

**CITY OF DERBY  
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY  
JANUARY 20 2010  
MINUTES**

**Meeting called to order** 7:00 p.m.

**Pledge of Allegiance** all rose and pledged allegiance

**Roll Call**

**Present:** Mr. DiSorbo, Ms. Duhaime (arrived at 7:08 p.m.), Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Stankye and Mr. Saccu.

**Also Present:** Lindsay King WPCA Superintendent, Ms. Sheila O'Malley - Director of Economic & Community Development and Mr. Tony DiSimone of Weston & Sampson.

**Additions, Deletions Corrections to Agenda**

**A MOTION** to approve the agenda by Mr. Stankye, second by Mr. Saccu, all in favor, **motion carried.**

**Approval of minutes - December 16 2009**

**A MOTION** to approve by Mr. Stankye, second by Mr. Bartholomew, all in favor, **motion carried.**

**Public Portion**

No one from the public spoke. Public Portion closed.

**Approval of expenditures Dec 18 2009 - January 8 2010**

**A MOTION** to approve by Ms. Duhaime with a second by Mr. Stankye, all in favor, **motion carried.**

**DOT Parcel**

Ms. O'Malley addressed the board. The DOT parcel is behind BJ's along the Naugatuck River. It is 276 feet at its widest point. There can be 5-6 structures on it.

The federal government gave the City \$1,000,000.00 grant for the road and infrastructure and the State of CT gave a match of \$800,000.00 as a loan. The \$800,000.00 is contingent on 50% going back to the State if the parcels are sold, the City puts in \$200,000.00 for design.

The force main and pump station improvements including the railroad crossing etc, is included in the plans for the road. This will make improvements to the Division Street pump station. There will be a new pump station on this parcel. The intent is to incorporate some of the changes and renovations needed for the Division Street pump station into this project. Mr. King would like to see some of the flow from Division Street and under the river diverted into the new pump station on this property.

The bid spec's could be finalized by the end of this month. The bid could go out to bid by early March.

Mr. Saccu would like to see a list of projects or things that would be enhanced and what the engineering costs would be. Mr. King said there are some chambers in that area that is a disaster.

### **November Referendum for W.P.C.A. Plant/Infrastructure**

The W.P.C.A. may be able to dovetail a November referendum with a Redevelopment referendum. There is an economic development bonding program through the stimulus funding. The issuer of the bond would receive a 45% subsidy from the federal government to be used on the interest on taxable bonds. If W.P.C.A. needed to go out for bonding on \$10,000,000.00 there would be 45% subsidy on the interest for the taxable bonds. It lessens the cost for going out to bond for a project you may have to do already. The sewer treatment plant may be a potential project because it is in the redevelopment zone, it needs to be repaired and it would create a more developable piece of property and there is a time constraint.

Mr. Saccu suggests looking at all the proposed upgrades, all the funding opportunities, grant opportunities minus the total projected costs of the comprehensive project(s) and that would give us an amount to be bonded and the total cost to the City and prorate that and put into the overall plan where the ancillary benefits that the City will realize from this by being able to develop other properties, their return on their investment, bringing commerce into town, etc. as part of a full comprehensive plan and not just water flow.

### **Chris Louca - Mt. Pleasant Street**

Mr. Louca is requesting another adjustment to his July 2009 sewer use bill. He received an adjustment on his fourth quarter usage (Jan - Mar 2009).

However, he received an adjustment to his July 2008 sewer use bill on his fourth quarter usage (Jan - Mar 2008).

He has since realized that the July 2008 adjustment affected his first quarter usage of his July 2009 bill (first quarter) and is now requesting an additional adjustment on his July 2009 bill. There is a question regarding his discrepancy in his reading. Why is there continual discrepancies in his fourth quarter readings? The opinion is that he has received a refund and needs proof that he has a bad meter. Mr. King suggests we need evidence from the water company. Mr. Saccu feels there may be something wrong with the meter readings and let him investigate the matter with the water company.

**A MOTION** to deny his request by Mr. Stankye denying the request with the secretary sending a letter stating the reason for denial that he show more proof and will reopen his case, with a second by Ms. Duhaime, discussion....Mr. Saccu feels there is a clear indicator the readings are way off, he needs to go back to the water company and see what the method is and see what the defect is, all in favor, **motion carried.**

### **Superintendent Report**

There was a big blockage on Division Street on Saturday. Mr. Saccu would like to be informed when there is anything significant happening. Mr. King will submit a monthly call out report to the W.P.C.A. meetings.

### **Adjourn**

**A MOTION** to adjourn at 8:01 p.m. by Ms. Duhaime, second by Mr. Stankye, all in favor, **motion carried.**

*Respectfully Submitted,*

Denise Cesaroni  
Recording Secretary

*\*\*\*These minutes are subject to the approval of the Water Pollution Control Authority at its next regularly scheduled meeting.*